On the applicability of COSMIC-FFP for measuring software throughout its life cycle

R. Meli, A. Abran, V.T. Ho, S. Oligny

Presented at

ESCOM-SCOPE 2000, Munich, Germany

April 18-20, 2000



Agenda

• Context

- Early size estimation
- Designing Early & Quick COSMIC-FFP
- Further research
- Conclusion





- Software size is a key variable in software engineering economic models
- Technical size (SLOC) is available too late in the development process
- Functional size (COSMIC-FFP), although available earlier, is not yet "early enough"
- Goal: Explore how COSMIC-FFP could be used "early on" in the development process ?



Early size estimation

Software development "size paradox"



"Early" sizing is therefore the result of a compromise between usefulness and precision



Early size estimation

| Early | Size value is obtained before a significant amount of resources have been committed. |
|---------------------|--|
| Quick | Size value is obtained under constraints, such as time or cost, preventing precise measurement. |
| Size Measurement | Size value is obtained by rigorous application of the rules and procedures of the COSMIC-FFP measurement manual. |
| Size Estimate | Size value is obtained by techniques producing a forecast of the measured size. |



Early size estimation

- Early Function Point (EFPA) is a technique to forecast the functional size of software
- The usefulness of EFPA has already been demonstrated¹
- How could it be applied to COSMIC-FFP?

Note 1: See references 5, 6, 8, 10 and 11 in the proceedings paper



- Structure of IFPUG FPA
- Structure of EFPA
- Structure of COSMIC-FFP
- Preliminary model of E&Q COSMIC-FFP
- Similarities and differences



Structure of IFPUG FPA



Software Engineering Management Research Laboratory

Structure of EFPA



EFPA Software model (hierarchical)

UQÃM

Structure of COSMIC-FFP



COSMIC-FFP Software model (hierarchical)



A preliminary model

• "Proto-function" structure

Ouantifying "proto-function"



A preliminary model - "Proto-function" structure

- Standardize taxonomy around "process"
- Functional processes not classified as I,O,Q
- Three size qualification (small, medium, large)
- Equal contribution of FP size hypothesized
- No contribution from data groups



A preliminary model - "Proto-function" structure





A preliminary model - Quantifying "Proto-function"

| | | No. Gen. process | No. Func. process | No. of BFC |
|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Macro process | small medium large | 2 to 3 4 to 7 8 to 12 | | |
| General process | small medium large | | 6 to 12 13 to 19 20 to 25 | |
| Functional process | small medium large | | | to be determined empirically |



A preliminary model - EFPA/E&Q CFFP similarities

- Both approaches propose a hierarchical view
- Size units can be assigned at any level within these hierarchies
- Both approaches use analogies to identify functional components



A preliminary model - EFPA/E&Q CFFP differences

- Base functional components (BFC) lies at a different functional level
- Size units are defined differently
- Data groups contribution differs



Further research

- Validate basic hypotheses and principles
- Determine empirical values for functional processes
- Quantify relationship between BFC
- Study structure of "processes mix" across software domains





- Preliminary work indicates feasibility of obtaining "quick and early" CFFP,
- Empirical calibration required at the functional process level,
- Further results to be published within the CFFP Measurement Manual



Acknowledgments

 The Software Engineering Management Research Laboratory of the Université du Québec à Montréal is supported through a partnership with Bell Canada.

 Additional funding is provided by the Government of Canada.

