

Development of An International Consensus on the Software Engineering Body of Knowledge

Alain Abran

P. Bourque, R. Dupuis, J. W. Moore, L. Tripp

Universidad de Alcala, Alcala de Heneres (Spain) June 2005



Corporate Support by:





CANADIAN COUNCIL OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS CONSEIL CANADIEN DES INGÉNIEURS









National Research Council Canada Conseil national de recherches Canada

Rational[®]





Project managed by:



Université du Québec École


de technologie supérieure



Guide to the Software Engineering Body of Knowledge (SWEBOK[®])

- Began as a collaboration among IEEE CS, ACM and the Université du Québec à Montréal
- International participation from industry, professional societies, standards bodies, academia, authors
- Over 500 hundred software engineering professionals have touched the document
- ⊙ Release of Trial Version in 2001
- ® Registered in U.S. Patent Office

Trial Version (2001)





2004 SWEBOK Guide

- ⊙ Available on www.swebok.org
- The 2004 Version was endorsed by the project's Industrial Advisory Board in January 2004 and approved by the IEEE Computer Society Board of Governors in February 2004
- In May 2005, published in book format by the IEEE Computer Society Press
- To be published as ISO Technical Report 19759

List of Knowledge Areas

- ⊙ Software Requirements
- ⊙ Software Design
- Software Construction
- ⊙ Software Testing
- ⊙ Software Maintenance
- Software Configuration Management
- Software Quality
- Software Engineering Tools & Methods
- Software Engineering Process
- Software Engineering Management

Presentation Objectives

- Give an overview of the emergience process for this international consensus on the "core body of knowledge" of software engineering
- Present the development process used to reach this consensus
- Illustrate some uses of the SWEBOK Guide

Presentation Plan

O Project background

- Project scope, objectives, audience and development process
- Contents of the Guide
- Some uses of the Guide in organizations
- Evolution of the Guide
- Conclusion
- Appendix: Breakdown of topics

What is Software Engineering?


⊙ IEEE 610.12*:

- * "(1) The application of a systematic, disciplined, quantifiable approach to the development, operation, and maintenance of software; that is, the application of engineering to software.
- ✤ (2) The study of approaches as in (1)."
 - * IEEE Standard Glossary of Software Engineering Terminology, 1990.

Recognized Profession?

- Starr*:
 - Knowledge and competence: validated by the community of peers
 - Consensually validated knowledge: rests on rational, scientific grounds
 - Judgment and advice: oriented toward a set of substantive values
- * P. Starr, *The Social Transformation of American Medicine*: BasicBooks, 1982.

Development of a Profession



Presentation Plan

- Project background
- Project scope, objectives, audience and development process
- Contents of the Guide
- How can you leverage the Guide within your organization?
- Evolution of the Guide
- Conclusion
- Breakdown of topics

Project Objectives

- Characterize the contents of the Software Engineering Body of Knowledge
- Provide a topical access to the Software Engineering Body of Knowledge
- Promote a consistent view of software engineering worldwide

Project Objectives

- Clarify the place of, and set the boundary of, software engineering with respect to other disciplines (computer science, project management, computer engineering, mathematics, etc.)
- Provide a foundation for curriculum development and individual certification and licensing material


Intended Audience

- Public and private organizations
- ⊙ Practicing software engineers
- Makers of public policy
- Professional societies
- Software engineering students
- Educators and trainers


What Was Out of Scope?

- Not a curriculum development effort!
- Not an all-inclusive description of the sum of knowledge in the field
- ⊙ Not all categories of knowledge

Categories of Knowledge in the SWEBOK



• North American Bachelor's degree + 4 years of experience



Three Underlying Principles of the Project

- Transparency: the development process is itself published and fully documented
- Consensus-building: the development process is designed to build, over time, consensus in industry, among professional societies and standards-setting bodies and in academia
- Available *free* on the web

Project Team

- ⊙ Editorial Team of the Guide
- ⊙ Industrial Advisory Board
- Associate Editors of the Knowledge Areas
- Reviewers

Roles of the Industrial Advisory Board


- Provide input to ensure relevance to various audiences
- Review and approve strategy and deliverables
- Oversee development process
- Assist in promoting the Guide to the Software Engineering Body of Knowledge
- Lend credibility to the project

Formal resolutions


- Industrial Advisory Board (2001)
- IEEE CS Board of Governors (2001)
 - The Board of Governors of the IEEE Computer Society accepts the Guide to the Software Engineering Body of Knowledge (Trial Version) as fulfilling its development requirements and is ready for field trials for a period of two years"
- IEEE CS Board of Governors (Feb. 2004)

Officially approved the 2004 Version


○ ISO Technical Report 19759 (upcoming)



Process - Strawman



Stoneman- Trial Version Review Process



Trial Version Review Process

- ⊙ Transparency and consensus-building
 - All intermediate versions of documents are published and archived on www.swebok.org
 - All comments are made public as well as the identity of the reviewers
 - Detailed comment disposition reports are produced for Review Cycle 2 and 3

		s and Review Ca	ptains - Netscap	e				_ & ×
_ <u>F</u> ile _Edit <u>∖</u> ≂l		municator <u>H</u> elp						
- Sack		ad Home	🧈 📩 Search Netscar	oe Print Securit	V Stop			N
Bookr				s/reviewresults.html	y sup		(@`₩	hat's Related
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				🖳 eFoldersAdmin 🗳	SWEBOK Results	🖳 🖳 Guide to the SW		
	Man Vers v Results							<u>^</u>
Option Choose of		rom the followir	ng lists:					
Choose a	a Knowledge A a Review View a Question 💌		Luestionnaire	Click here for responses that concern the entire Guide rather than a given Knowledge Area				
	esponses for	a reviewer:						
Choose a	a Reviewer	•						
	Unique Ident	tifier of the Resp	oonse:					<u>•</u>
ď -0-	Docun	nent: Done		SWEBOK-Rev	iewers and Review	Captains - Netscape		P 🖬 🎸

Comment Resolution

Guide to the SWEBOK - Stone Man Version 0.5 - Review Results Report - Netsca File Edit View Go Communicator Help	pe _ <mark>_</mark> &
Back Forward Reload Home Search Netscape Print Security	Stop
🖋 Bookmarks 🙏 Netsite: http://www.swebok.org/reviewers/getreviewresults.html	✓ ♥ What's Relate
関 Instant Message 関 Internet 🖆 Lookup 🖆 New&Cool 関 eFoldersAdmin 🖳 S\	WEBOK Results 🖳 Guide to the SW 🖳 Review Captain 🖳 Untitle
Guide to the SWEBOK - Stone Man Version 0.5 Review Results Report	
Knowledge Area: Software design Review Viewpoint: Researchers	
Question 1: Do you find that the breakdowns of topics comply with the r	requirement of being sound and reasonable?
Unimus Davisuras Dasananas Islandifism 200	
	Response Disposition: No disposition yet
Reviewer Response:	
Reviewer Response: Yes Reviewers:	Response Disposition: No disposition yet
Reviewer Response: Yes Reviewers: Du, Weichang Marcos, Esperanza Rodeiro Iglesias, Javier	Response Disposition: No disposition yet
Yes Peviewer Response: Yes Pu, Weichang Marcos, Esperanza Rodeiro Iglesias, Javier Unique Reviewer Response Identifier: 281 Reviewer Response: The distinction between architectural and detailed design is traditional but perhaps	Response Disposition: No disposition yet Disposition Rationale:
Reviewers:	Response Disposition: No disposition yet Disposition Rationale: Response Disposition: No disposition yet
Reviewer Response: Yes Reviewers: Du, Weichang Marcos, Esperanza Rodeiro Iglesias, Javier Unique Reviewer Response Identifier: 281 Reviewer Response: The distinction between architectural and detailed design is traditional but perhaps becoming unmanageable as the size of a typical program/system grows Reviewers: Sanden, Bo	Response Disposition: No disposition yet Disposition Rationale: Response Disposition: No disposition yet
Reviewer Response: Yes Reviewers: Du, Weichang Marcos, Esperanza Rodeiro Iglesias, Javier Unique Reviewer Response Identifier: 281 Reviewer Response: The distinction between architectural and detailed design is traditional but perhaps becoming unmanageable as the size of a typical program/system grows Reviewers:	Response Disposition: No disposition yet Disposition Rationale: Response Disposition: No disposition yet Disposition Rationale:

Data on reviewers Trial Version

⊙ Version 0,1: 33

⊙ Version 0,5: 195

Version 0,7: 378
 + ISO reviews from 5 countries


Geographic Distribution of Reviewers Trial Version

- ⊙ USA: 55%
- ⊙ Europe: 18%


✤ 90 reviewers from 25 countries

- ⊙ Canada: 10%
- O Australia: 5%
- Asia: 5%
- Latin America: 4%


Education level of reviewers (Version 0,7)




Number of employees at reviewer location (Version 0,7)



Number of years of practical experience (Version 0,7)







Reviewers (2004 Version)

- Registered reviewers: 573
- Number of countries: 55
- Number of comments: 1020
- Number of reviewers submitting comments: 124
- Number of represented countries: 21

Years in the field





Years in industry

Project Overview Presentation Plan

- Project background
- Project scope, objectives, audience and development process
- Contents of the Guide
- Uses of the Guide in organizations
- Evolution of the Guide
- Conclusion
- Appendix: Breakdown of topics

Deliverables:

- Consensus on a list of Knowledge Areas
- Consensus on a list of topics and relevant reference materials for each Knowledge Area
- Consensus on a list of Related Disciplines

Knowledge Areas and Related Disciplines


- Software Requirements
- Software Design
- Software Construction
- Software Testing
- Software Maintenance
- Software Configuration Management
- Software Eng. Management
- Software Eng. Tools & Methods
- Software Engineering Process
- Software Quality


Related Disciplines


- Computer Engineering
- Computer Science
- Mathematics
- Project Management
- Management
- Quality Management
- Software Ergonomics
- Systems Engineering

Knowledge Area Description

Classification **Matrix of Topics** References & References of Topics **Classification** Classification References Topic by Bloom's to Related by Vincenti's **Descriptions** Taxonomy Disciplines Taxonomy Not implemented in Trial Version







Summary of changes in 2004 Version

 Standardization of the contents of the chapters in terms of table of contents, topic breakdown, terminology, reference citations and writing style

• Structural improvements in the breakdown of topics:

- Software Construction,
- Software Engineering Management,
- Software Quality,
- Software Engineering Process
- Better representation of text in topic breakdown :
 - Software Requirements,
 - ✤ Software Testing,
 - Software Maintenance

Summary of changes in 2004 Version

- New chapter on Related Disciplines (instead of an appendix)
- Better representation of standards in chapters and a new Appendix devoted to standards
- Updating of reference material
- Handling of trial usage feedback
- Handling of reviewer comments

- Project background
- Project scope, objectives, audience and development process
- Contents of the Guide
- ⊙ Uses of the Guide in organizations
- Evolution of the Guide
- Conclusion
- Appendix: Breakdown of topics

• Industry & Government

- Career planning
 - Construx
- Inter-company benchmarking
- ✤ Hiring
- Job and role descriptions
 - Bombardier Transportation
- Policy making
 - Turkish Industry Survey, Alberta Software Testing Survey

- Professional development
 - Security Industry Automation Corporation
 - SAP, Boeing Australia
 - http://www.software-kompetenz.de

Education

- Course Design/Assessment:
 - École de technologie supérieure
- Program Design/Assessment:
 - CRISTEL project
 - National Technological University
 - Monash University see http://www.csse.monash.edu.au/~doit/cgibin/live/index.php.cgi

Licensing & Certification IEEE CS CSDP

Ordre des ingénieurs du Québec

- Project background
- Project scope, objectives, audience and development process
- Contents of the Guide
- Uses of the Guide in organizations
- ⊙ Evolution of the Guide
- Conclusion
- Appendix: Breakdown of topics

Evolution of the Guide

- Copyright belongs to the IEEE
 - They must decide evolution of the Guide
- Transition to self-supporting, volunteer-led process—i.e. self-funded.
- Coordination with related projects (internal and external)
- Time-boxed block updates
- Involvement with stakeholder groups
- Openness and transparency
- Technical excellence


- Project background
- Project scope, objectives, audience and development process
- Contents of the Guide
- How you can leverage the Guide within your organization
- Evolution of the Guide
- Occursion
- Appendix: Breakdown of topics


Concluding Remarks


 Consensus on the core body of knowledge is key in all disciplines and pivotal for the evolution toward a professional status

www.swebok.org

- Project background
- Project scope, objectives, audience and development process
- Contents of the Guide
- How you can leverage the Guide within your organization
- Evolution of the Guide
- Conclusion
- ⊙ Appendix: Breakdown of topics










Figure 1 Breakdown of topics for the Software Design KA









Figure 1. Breakdown of topics for the Software Construction KA.











Software Engineering Tools and Methods

