Everybody matters 2: promoting dignity in acute care through effective communication

Good communication is vital to dignified care. This project explored the ways in which nurses could improve their relationships with both patients and colleagues.
“I feel valued when I am consulted and asked rather than told to do something. It’s about working as a team and understanding that we are all human!”

Part of “connecting with” is acknowledging the reality of working in hospitals. Connecting with the humanity of patients and that of other staff is an essential part of giving and sustaining dignity. Below are some practical suggestions that have emerged from talking to and working with nurses on the wards. Their aim is to help other nurses both reflect on and develop everyday practice to connect with the people being cared for and the staff they are caring with. For ease these are considered under the following headings and summarised in Table 1.

- Connecting with and creating values around caring;
- Putting yourself in another’s shoes;
- Promoting communication that connects with the person.

**Connecting with and creating values around caring**

The culture of the unit, that is, the way we work with others and our shared understandings about the jobs we do, is vital in shaping the type of care we give (Baillie, 2009). Yet often our values on care are individual and unspoken.

Relationships with patients are not a matter of individual commitment but a vital component of professional accountability that can be linked to better quality care (Weinberg, 2006). As such, articulating the relational aspect of nursing work, and time is taken to know the individual and their unique perspective and history, is important.

**What do you believe in?** In this project we used a modified beliefs and values questionnaire (Manley, 1997) to help different staff groups to consider and develop a shared understanding of care. We asked ward staff to complete three sentences:

- If I were a patient on this ward/department I would like…
- If I were a relative/significant other of a patient I would like…
- If I were a staff member on this ward/department I would like…

The nurses who asked the questions found the process of carrying out the questionnaire helpful. New ways of relating as colleagues emerged as staff exchanged ideas and experiences around giving and receiving care. In bringing the answers together and identifying themes, some ward leaders were struck by the similarity of the professionals’ answers. The questionnaire helped to identify the strengths they already had as a team and identified areas of development.

**Connecting with colleagues:** finding ways of hearing what it is like for nurses to do their job is an important aspect of creating connections. The Assessment of Work Environment Schedule (AWES) tool (Nolan et al, 1998) is a structured tool to measure nurses’ work environment. It has 34 questions, which are clustered around six themes:

- Recognition and regard;
- Workload;
- Continuing professional development;
- Quality of care;
- Working relationships;
- Autonomy/decision making.

We used AWES primarily as a way to begin conversations about the importance of ward culture to the practice of giving and sustaining dignified care. Ward staff found the tool easy to fill in and it created a space to discuss the work environment and the challenges and possibilities of ward culture in giving care.

**Putting yourself in another’s shoes**

The act of empathy – seeing and feeling the situation from the other’s unique perspective – is a key part of giving dignified care. What did become clear from project interviews was the impact on nurses and their care as a result of being on the other side, as a patient or relative:

“Since my mum was ill is different, I see her in other patients, I want to check out that they are OK, that’s what I wanted for her.”

Although it is necessary to step back into professional roles in order to care well, keeping connected to others’ perspectives and their individual experience is important.

**Connecting with patients/carers:** in the project we use a variety of creative techniques to think about the experiences of others. One example is the use of drawing to help nurses explore their own feelings and expectations about older age. A group of nurses were asked to think about and then draw themselves aged 80 (Roberts et al, 2003). They were invited to explain any aspects of their picture to their colleagues. The physical characteristics of ageing were an obvious focus of many pictures and led to discussions around nurse attitudes and how this may affect the connections people made.

One nurse commented on the exercise:

“It was good fun and interesting, it’s good to remember not to assume, if you don’t ask you don’t know.”

**Being visibly appreciative:** it is not always easy to keep connected to others, particularly in times of stress, and the role of the ward leader is pivotal (Royal College of Nursing, 2009). It is helpful to create routine practices that keep nursing leadership connected to staff, patients and the realities of clinical practice. Examples of this include the charge
Promoting communication that connects with the person

Communicating well is complex and involves aspects of ourselves, the other person and the context in which communication occurs. Promoting communication may involve learning skills, recognising attitudes and changing the environment in which care is given. We used the Shortened Quality of Interaction Schedule (SQUIS) (Dean et al, 1993) to help nurses to value existing good communication in their unit and identify areas to develop.

Valuing and enhancing good communication: SQUIS is a simple observation tool to allow staff to stop, look, listen and appreciate the quality of communication where they work (Ashburner et al, 2004). We used two people, one project nurse and a senior clinician from the unit, to record interactions between staff, patients and relatives/visitors. This was done for up to 20 minutes in communal areas of the ward/department.

The interactions are coded into four categories:

- Positive social interaction (PS) denotes empathy, connecting with the person;
- Basic care interaction (BC) records communication around getting a task done;
- Neutral interaction (N) is a brief, indifferent interaction;
- Negative interaction (N-) records communication that ignores, patronises or is rude.

The ward team then discuss the exercise together, focusing on recognising good practice in their area and looking at how compassionate communication can be promoted. They can then consider what specific processes or behaviours in the ward may diminish this.

The wards in our project found SQUIS extremely helpful in providing a structured way to stop and observe their everyday environment. The observers commented on the power of positive social interactions, such as the importance of touch with a person who is confused; nurses staying with someone in distress; and ensuring being at eye level when communicating with a patient in a chair.

The observation was a powerful reminder of communication habits that can get in the way of connecting with patients and colleagues. Examples might include talking through closed curtains, conversations about “doing” tasks to bed numbers while with patients, and the noise of staff calling out across the ward to each other for keys.

Nurses responded well to the tangible and structured feedback that SQUIS provided and were able to make and then see the difference in the quality of communications. The following extract from project interview data shows the lasting impact on connecting with people through taking time to stop, look and listen:

“As the matron, I managed a housekeeper for about two years and, while we had a fairly amicable relationship, it was not particularly friendly. Following a SQUIS session a few weeks ago we observed that her interaction with the patients when giving out tea and coffee was extremely good. Following that observation I fed back to her on how well both the sister and I felt she had done. She was very pleased at the time. However, what I think is more interesting is her change in attitude toward myself, she is now very friendly and happy to see me” (Interview after SQUIS).

CONCLUSION

The phrase “walk a mile in another man’s shoes” suggests it is not really possible to connect with someone unless you spend some time thinking about their situation or life – metaphorically, putting on their shoes. The challenge in “connect with me” is to move beyond clinical-patient contact to human-human contact. This article provides practical examples to help nurses connect with the people they are caring for and working with. This is rewarding but can be difficult work and the complexities of busy hospitals, with many different people providing care, can challenge sustained human connection.

The third article in this series explores further the importance of trusts in supporting staff to remain connected and involved with the people they care for and work with. For staff to “connect with me” they need to be supported by the organisation and fellow workers as well as by wider policy decisions. Although acknowledging the impact this can have on giving dignified care, this project highlights the power of kindness, mutual respect and appreciative relationships even in the most pressing times.

Part 3 of this series, to be published in next week’s issue, discusses the third theme of shared decision making.
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