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#### Abstract

Objective: To evaluate the distribution of cardiovascular risk factors and the prevalence of cardiovascular disease in a sample of the Italian population. Methods: CHECK (Cholesterol and Health: Education, Control and Knowledge) is a cross-sectional observational study in a randomised sample of the Italian adult population aged 40-79 years, in the setting of general practice. Results: 5846 subjects ( $50.3 \%$ male) were included in the analysis. The mean age [ $\pm$ SD] of the observed cohort was $57.8( \pm 10.3)$ years. One out of five subjects smoked cigarettes and almost $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ didn't engage in regular leisure-time physical activity. The mean blood pressure was $132.0[ \pm 14.7] / 81.2[ \pm 7.9]$ mmHg . The total and LDL-cholesterol levels were respectively $205.3[ \pm 35.9] \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ and $124.9[ \pm 29.9]$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$. The mean glucose concentration was 98.3 [ $\pm 28.2$ ] $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$. The prevalence rate of hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, and type 2 diabetes were respectively $51.8 \%, 55.6 \%$, and $13.0 \% .8 .9 \%$ of the observed subjects had a history of cardiovascular events, while in the primary prevention group the 10 -yearrisk of coronary heart disease (Framingham algorithm) was $10.1 \%$ [ $\pm 8.3 \%$ ] and of cardiovascular disease (CUORE algorithm) was $5.2 \%$ [ $\pm 5.9 \%]$. Conclusion: The CHECK study provides a detailed description of a randomised sample of the Italian population, contributing to evaluate the prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors and the main cardiovascular disease in Italy and to provide a baseline to set priorities and objectives for future intervention of health policy.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular diseases (CVD), a group of disorders of the heart and blood vessels, including coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, peripheral arterial disease, are the leading cause of morbidity, disability and mortality worldwide, showing a continue increasing trend. In 2008, CVD caused 17 million deaths ( $47.2 \%$ of non-communicable diseases deaths, $29.8 \%$ of total deaths in the world) [1]; in particular, 7.6 million deaths were due to ischemic heart disease and 5.7 million to stroke [2].

CVD remains the first cause of death and disability in Italy although a slow and stepwise reduction of incidence has been observed since the mid seventies [3,4].

The subjects who survive acute cardiovascular events are likely to become chronic patients. CVD compromise patients' quality of life and represent a significant economic burden to the national health system. Cardiovascular drugs account for about $26.4 \%$ of the Italian Pharmaceutical expenditure [5].

The CHECK (Cholesterol and Health: Education, Control and Knowledge) study was designed to provide information on the distribution of the main CVD risk factors in Italy setting the stage for addressing questions relative to the plausibility and feasibility of interventions on the principal risk factors for CVD in an European country.

CHECK is the first randomised Italian epidemiological study performed in primary care. Its main objective was to evaluate the distribution of cardiovascular risk factors and the prevalence of cardiovascular disease in a representative sample of the Italian adult population aged 40-79 years. Other aims were 1) to perform a follow-up
study to determine the incidence of cardiovascular events and other main pathologies, 2) to create plasma and DNA banks to design ad-hoc nested case-control studies, 3) to disseminate information about cardiovascular risk factors to increase physicians and patients awareness and contribute to the implementation of evidences supporting health policies and preventive strategies in the population.
In this paper we describe baseline characteristics of enrolled subjects.

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was conducted in accordance to the Declaration of Helsinki, Guidelines for Good Clinical practice, and the Italian bioethics regulations and laws. The study was approved by the local Ethic Committee and all subjects gave written informed consent to the study (protocol code SEFAP/Pr. 0003).

### 2.1. Study Design

The Italian Society of General Medicine (SIMG) identified 54 general practitioners (coordinators) that were active at local level and distributed throughout the Italian territory (both rural and urban areas) in proportion to the regional population density. Each coordinator had to contact other general practitioners (GPs) who were operating on the same territory, to reach a group of 14 GPs.

Overall, 764 GPs were invited to participate to the study as investigators. They had to meet all the following pre-requisites:

- age: $<52$ years;
- practice size: $>1000$ subjects;
- computerised patient records system;
- access to the web.

The GPs who adhered to the proposal had to registered in the project website and to provide some personal information and their patients number. Each investigator was required to enrol 16 subjects aged 40 to 79 years, randomly selected among his patients, following a random number list generated by the coordinating Centre in Milan based on self-reported number of patients. The patient selection procedure was subject to random control by the local coordinators. Subjects who didn't give their consensus or who were not eligible for practical reasons (e.g. subjects with walking disabilities, severe handicap, or suffering from an invaliding disease or a severe mental illness) were excluded from the randomized sample. The subjects' enrolment started in March 2002 and ended in June 2005.

### 2.2. Data Collection

Subjects' clinical history and clinical information were collected during a standardised visit performed by the
investigating physician.
Information about smoking habit, physical activity, alcohol use and chronic drug treatments were collected directly from the patient during the same examination. Smoking habit was classified as current (patient smoking at least one cigarette per day) or former (at least one year from smoking cessation). Self-reported leisure-time physical activity was classified as present or non-present. The use of alcohol was evaluated by adding the consumption of red and/or white wine, beer and liquors. Information on chronic therapies was also collected.

Weight and height measures, obtained from lightly clothed patients, were expressed in terms of body mass index (BMI, weight in kilos divided by the square value of height, in meters).

The measurement of blood pressure (BP) was performed with the patient in sitting position, after 4 minute of rest, applying the appropriate cuff on the right arm. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure was identified at the beginning of the first and the fifth phase of the Korotkoff sounds, using a mercury sphygmomanometer with the appropriate cuff. Three consecutive readings were recorded and their mean was considered for the analysis. Heart rate was assessed as beats per minute after the last measurement of BP.

The presence of angina pectoris or of a history of myocardial infarction, coronary artery bypass graft or coronary angioplasty, stroke, transient ischemic attacks, claudicatio and left ventricular hypertrophy were assessed by retrieving the medical records of the subject and by direct inquiry. Subjects with a history of cardiovascular events (excluding left ventricular hypertrophy) were considered in secondary prevention.

Family history for premature cardiovascular diseases was determined when episodes of stroke and/or myocardial infarction were reported for one or more first-degree relatives, $<55$ years old for men and $<65$ years old for women. Family history for hypertension, dislipidemia, and diabetes was also evaluated.

All relevant subject records were entered in a computerized patient chart directly by the investigating physician and then sent to a central server via web.

Blood samples were drawn at the beginning of the enrolment visit. Blood was obtained between 8 to 10 am, from the antecubital vein, in sitting position, after 12 hours of fasting and alcohol abstinence. Blood samples were then collected in EDTA or monoiodine-acetate (only for glucose assessment) coated tubes and shipped by courier at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ temperature to the central laboratory (Fleming SpA, in Brescia, Italy) within 24 hours, where the biochemical parameters were determined. The biochemical evaluation was performed following the criteria of the World Health Organization Lipid Reference Laboratories.

Upon arrival, the samples were centrifuged to obtain the plasma. Levels of total cholesterol (TC), glucose and triglycerides (TG) were measured by a chromatometric enzymatic method; the plasma levels of apolipoprotein B by a latex enhanced turbidimetric immuno-assay. Plasma HDL cholesterol levels (HDL-C) were measured after the precipitation of the apolipoprotein B containing lipoproteins with dextran-magnesium-chloride. All determinations were performed by an automatic analyzer (ADVIA 1650; Bayer, Germany). Fibrinogen plasma levels ( $1.8-3.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) were assessed by a turbidimetric method with Nefelometro BNA 100 (Boehring, Italia). The low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) values were calculated using the Friedewald formula (TC minus HDL-C minus $1 / 5 \mathrm{TG}$ ).

Serum 1 mL aliquots (4-5 for each sample) were frozen at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for further biochemical evaluation. White cells were frozen at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for polymorphism search/ genetic research.

Criteria used for specific diagnosis were:

- Hypercholesterolemia: plasma levels of $\mathrm{TC} \geq 200$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ or LDL-C $\geq 130 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ or pharmacological treatment with statins and/or simvastatin + ezetimibe.
- Hypertriglyceridemia: plasma levels of $\mathrm{TG} \geq 170 \mathrm{mg} /$ dL or pharmacological treatment with fibrates and/or omega-3.
- Mixed dyslipidemia: hypercholesterolemia and hypertriglyceridemia, as defined above.
- Hypertension: recorded diagnosis by physician or systolic $\mathrm{BP} \geq 140 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ or diastolic $\mathrm{BP} \geq 90 \mathrm{~mm}$ Hg or currently taking medication to lower high BP (NHANES criteria [6]).
- Type 2 diabetes mellitus: recorded diagnosis by physician or fasting blood glucose levels $\geq 126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ or currently taking antidiabetic drugs (oral hypoglycaemic medication and/or insulin).
- Overweight: body mass index $(\mathrm{BMI}) \geq 25$ and $<30$ $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$;
- Obesity: $\mathrm{BMI} \geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$;
- Metabolic Syndrome (MetS): presence of at least 3 of 5 of the listed characteristics [7]: abdominal obesity, given as waist circumference, men $>102 \mathrm{~cm}$, women $>88 \mathrm{~cm}$; triglycerides $\geq 150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$; HDL cholesterol, men $<40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$, women $<50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$; blood pressure $\geq 130 / 85 \mathrm{mmHg}$; fasting glucose $\geq$ $110 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$. BMI was used as a surrogate of waist circumference, men $\geq 28 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, women $\geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ (approach validated in a subgroup of about 1000 subjects: assignment to MetS/noMetS consistent in $92 \%$ of cases in males and $97 \%$ in females).
The coronary heart disease risk (CHD risk \% in 10 years) was calculated using the Framingham algorithm [8], as recommended at the time of the study by the Italian regulatory Agency (AIFA) for the prescription of
statin drugs [9]; the global cardiovascular risk (CVD risk \% in 10 years) was evaluated using the CUORE algorithm [10], developed from epidemiological data obtained in Italy, as reported by Italian recommendations since 2003 [11]. Based on Framingham or CUORE scores, subjects were stratified in four risk classes ( $<5 \%$ low-risk; $5 \%-10 \%$ mild-risk; $10 \%-20 \%$ moderaterisk; $\geq 20 \%$ high risk).


### 2.3. Statistical Analysis

Normally distributed variables are presented as mean values [ $\pm$ standard deviation, SD] and not normally distribute variables as median [interquartile range, $I Q R$ ], while qualitative variables are presented as frequencies. Comparisons between continuous variables across sex groups were performed by using the t-test for independent sample. Comparisons between proportions across sex groups for categorical (and qualitative) variables were performed by using non-parametric tests. All reported p -values are based on two-sided tests and compared to a significance level of $5 \%$.

All statistical analyses were performed using the Windows 16.0 version of SPSS (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, Illinois).

## 3. RESULTS

432 GPs participated to the CHECK study (mean age 47.8 years, $80.1 \%$ male; $56.5 \%$ participation rate). Each of them enrolled up to 16 subjects, following the randomised sampling procedure. Overall, 6890 subjects were enrolled; $1044(15.1 \%)$ of them did not attend the medical visit and were excluded from the study sample; the blood samples of 131 subjects ( $1.9 \%$ ) were not processable, although their anamnestic data were included in the analysis.

5846 subjects were included in the final analysis (Figure 1). Men were $49.7 \%$ of the cohort. The mean age was 57.8 years ( 58.2 years for men and 57.4 for women). Comparison between the Check demographics and the latest available census data [12] showed small difference: women were slightly under-represented in the CHECK sample ( $50.3 \%$ vs. $52.6 \%$ respectively); the 50-59 and 60-69 age groups, conversely, were slightly over-represented ( $30.5 \%$ vs. $27.0 \%$ and $28.0 \%$ vs. $24.6 \%$, respectively).

The main outcomes of the study are shown in Tables $\mathbf{1 - 4}$. The average level of education is quite low: $33.0 \%$ of subjects achieved only primary education, but this percentage was higher in women ( $36.7 \%$ vs. $29.2 \%$ ). $32.9 \%$ reported to be retired, with a high proportion of men ( $65.8 \%$ ); 23.3\% were housewives ( $45.8 \%$ of the female sample). Smoke habit and alcohol consumption were more prevalent in men ( $24.3 \%$ vs. $18.6 \%$ and $62.4 \%$ vs. $28.4 \%$, respectively), whereas physical inac-


Figure 1. Flow chart of the study.
tivity was more present in women ( $84.1 \%$ vs. $75.0 \%$ ) (Table 1).

Mean BMI ( $\pm$ SD) was $26.5 \pm 4.3 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, putting the sample in the overweight range; this was observed in both genders. Mean SBP/DPB values were $(132.0 \pm$ $14.7) /(81.2 \pm 7.9) \mathrm{mm} \mathrm{Hg}$, slightly higher than cut off for pre-hypertension. Mean total cholesterol was $205.3 \pm$ $35.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$, while LDL-cholesterol was $124.9 \pm 29.9$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$, the former just higher and the latter slightly lower than desirable levels, due to HDL-cholesterol values ( $54.8 \pm 12.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ) (Table 2).

As shown in Table 3, $51.8 \%$ of subjects were hypertensive, $13.0 \%$ were diabetic, and $55.6 \% / 20.8 \%$ were hypercholesterolemic/hypertriglyceridemic. $8.6 \%$ had a history of cardiovascular events and thus could be defined as in secondary CV prevention. The $65.0 \%$ of the remaining subjects had a global CV risk in 10 years (calculated with CUORE algorithm) lower than $5 \%$, with a remarkable difference between genders (mean values: $7.8 \% \pm$ $7.1 \%$ in men vs. $2.8 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ in women) (Table 4).

## 4. DISCUSSION

The main objective of the CHECK study was to evaluate the cross-sectional prevalence of CVD and cardiovascular risk factors in a cohort of randomly selected Italian subjects, aged 40 to 79 years.

The CHECK sample proved to be representative of the Italian population in the same age range. The differences observed by comparing the Check demographics to the latest available census data [12] are small, and of limited relevance. This was probably due to a larger participation
to the study of subjects in a non-working age or condition.
The CHECK study provided a detailed and in depth description of the prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors and the main cardiovascular pathologies in the Italian population. In order to put our findings into a wider context, we compare them with other national surveys, while maintaining a worldwide perspective.

Smoking is estimated to cause nearly $10 \%$ of cardiovascular disease ( 5.4 millions of deaths) [13]. Currently, there are about 1 billion smokers worldwide [14]. The proportion of smoking subjects in the CHECK sample was $21.4 \%$ (men $24.3 \%$ and women $18.6 \%$ ). These figures were slightly lower than the official census data ( $24.5 \%$ in $2003,22.6 \%$ in 2004) [15]; the Check cohort excluded the 25-34 age group, the one with the highest prevalence of smokers ( $31.4 \%$ ), especially among men. The reduced prevalence of smokers in the CHECK cohort, as compared to previous epidemiological data [16], could also reflect the declining smoking habits in the Italian population, as a result of educational programs and smoking restrictions enforced in public buildings.

Physical inactivity is one of the leading risk factors for mortality and is estimated to cause about $30 \%$ of ischaemic heart disease burden [13]. Globally, around $31 \%$ of adults are insufficiently active (men $28 \%$ and women $34 \%$ ) [17]. Concerning leisure-time physical activity, the self-reported information obtained from the CHECK cohort were less favourable than the data derived from other studies. The prevalence of physical inactivity was $75 \%$ in men and $84 \%$ in women, vs. $34 \%$ in men and $46 \%$ in women observed, as an example, in the Atlante study [18].

Overweight and obesity lead to adverse metabolic effects on blood pressure, cholesterol, triglycerides and insulin resistance [13]; all over the world, 2.8 million people die each year as a result of being overweight [13]. A total of more than half a billion adults worldwide are obese [19]. The mean BMI of the CHECK cohort was $26.5 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, well within the overweight range. $17.4 \%$ of the cohort subjects were obese, with a higher prevalence in women than in men ( $18.8 \%$ vs. $16.0 \%$, respectively). In the PASSI study, a national survey (self-reported information) conducted on younger people aged 18-69 years [20], $32 \%$ of Italians are overweight, while one in ten is obese [21].

Raised blood pressure is the leading risk factor for cardiovascular disease mortality, causing more than 7 million deaths every year worldwide. Globally, prevalence of hypertension in adults is around $40 \%$ [13]. In our study, the mean blood pressure value was 132.0/81.2 mmHg . Hypertension was present in more than half of the sample, with a higher prevalence in men $(54.1 \% \mathrm{M}$ vs. $49.5 \% \mathrm{~W}$ ). The CHECK mean SBP values were comparable to those obtained in other Italian observational

Table 1. Sociodemographic and lifestyle characteristics in total and sex-stratified sample.

|  | Total sample | Men | Women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subjects, n (\%) | 5846 | 2903 (49.7) | 2943 (50.3) |
| Age, mean $\pm$ SD (*) | $57.8 \pm 10.3$ | $58.2 \pm 10.1$ | $57.4 \pm 10.5$ |
| $\text { Age distribution, } \mathrm{N}(\%)^{\S}$ |  |  |  |
| 40-49 years | 1517 (26.0) | 689 (23.7) | 828 (28.1) |
| 50-59 years | 1784 (30.5) | 912 (31.4) | 872 (39.6) |
| 60-69 years | 1638 (28.0) | 844 (29.1) | 794 (27.0) |
| 70-79 years | 907 (15.5) | 458 (15.8) | 449 (15.3) |
| Occupational status, $\mathrm{N}(\%)^{\S}$ |  |  |  |
| Employed | 2109 (36.5) | 1360 (47.4) | 749 (25.8) |
| Housewife | 1347 (23.3) | 14 (0.5) | 1333 (45.8) |
| Retiree | 1902 (32.9) | 1252 (43.7) | 650 (22.3) |
| Unemployed | 51 (0.9) | 33 (1.2) | 18 (0.6) |
| Other | 367 (6.4) | 207 (7.2) | 160 (5.5) |
| Educational level (highest degree), $\mathrm{N}(\%)^{\S}$ |  |  |  |
| None | 98 (1.7) | 37 (1.3) | 61 (2.1) |
| Elementary | 1905 (33.0) | 836 (29.2) | 1069 (36.7) |
| Junior High | 1840 (31.9) | 916 (32.0) | 924 (31.8) |
| High school | 1488 (25.8) | 808 (28.2) | 680 (23.4) |
| University | 445 (7.7) | 269 (9.4) | 176 (6.0) |
| Life habits, $\mathrm{N}(\%)^{\S}$ |  |  |  |
| Smokers | 1253 (21.4) | 706 (24.3) | 547 (18.6) |
| Regular exercise | 1181 (20.4) | 717 (25.0) | 464 (15.9) |
| Alcohol users | 2613 (45.2) | 1788 (62.4) | 825 (28.4) |

*Men vs. women (t-test) $\mathrm{p}=0.003 ;{ }^{\S}$ Men vs. women (non-parametric tests) $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Table 2. Anthropometric, vital and biochemical parameters in total and sex-stratified sample, mean $\pm$ SD.

|  |  | Total sample | Men | Women ${ }^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Height (m) | $\mathrm{N}=5843$ | $1.65 \pm 0.09$ | $1.71 \pm 0.07$ | $1.60 \pm 0.07$ |
| Weight (kg) | $\mathrm{N}=5835$ | $72.5 \pm 13.4$ | $78.5 \pm 11.7$ | $66.6 \pm 12.4$ |
| BMI ( $\mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $\mathrm{N}=5835$ | $26.5 \pm 4.3$ | $26.9 \pm 3.5$ | $26.2 \pm 4.9$ |
| SBP (mmHg) | $\mathrm{N}=5844$ | $132.0 \pm 14.7$ | $133.0 \pm 13.8$ | $130.9 \pm 15.5$ |
| DBP ( mmHg ) | $\mathrm{N}=5844$ | $81.2 \pm 7.9$ | $81.9 \pm 7.8$ | $80.6 \pm 8.0$ |
| Heart rate (bpm) | $\mathrm{N}=5773$ | $73.3 \pm 8.5$ | $72.6 \pm 8.5$ | $74.0 \pm 8.5$ |
| TC (mg/dL) | $\mathrm{N}=5710$ | $205.3 \pm 35.9$ | $202.5 \pm 35.7$ | $208.0 \pm 35.9$ |
| HDL-C (mg/dL) | $\mathrm{N}=5710$ | $54.8 \pm 12.2$ | $50.7 \pm 10.4$ | $58.8 \pm 12.4$ |
| TG (mg/dL) | $\mathrm{N}=5710$ | $132.8 \pm 102.1$ | $149.9 \pm 120.6$ | $115.9 \pm 76.1$ |
| median [IQR] |  | 109 [78-157] | 119 [86-177] | 98-72-140] |
| LDL-C (mg/dL) ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | $\mathrm{N}=5614$ | $124.9 \pm 29.9$ | $123.3 \pm 29.3$ | $126.5 \pm 30.3$ |
| ApoB (mg/dL) | $\mathrm{N}=5710$ | $111.4 \pm 25.2$ | $112.5 \pm 25.3$ | $110.4 \pm 25.1$ |
| Non-HDL-C (mg/dL) ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ | $\mathrm{N}=5710$ | $150.5 \pm 33.8$ | $151.8 \pm 33.6$ | $149.2 \pm 34.0$ |
| Glucose (mg/dL) | $\mathrm{N}=5547$ | $98.3 \pm 28.2$ | $101.7 \pm 29.6$ | $94.9 \pm 26.3$ |
| median [IQR] |  | 92 [84-102] | 95 [87-106] | 89 [83-98] |
| Fibrinogen (g/L) | $\mathrm{N}=5710$ | $3.41 \pm 0.69$ | $3.34 \pm 0.70$ | $3.47 \pm 0.68$ |

BMI: body mass index; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; TC: total cholesterol; TG: triglycerides; apoB: apolipoprotein B; ${ }^{*}$ Men vs women (t-test) p $<0.01$ for all comparisons; ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Obtained by the Friedewald formula; ${ }^{\#}(\mathrm{TC})$ - (HDL-C).

Table 3. Prevalence of cardiovascular disease and related comorbidities in total and sex-stratified sample, N (\%).

|  |  | Total sample | Men | Women | $\mathbf{p}^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comorbidities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hypertension ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{N}=5774$ | 2990 (51.8) | 1549 (54.2) | 1441 (49.5) | $<0.001$ |
| Hypercholesterolemia ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{N}=5707$ | 3172 (55.6) | 1514 (53.5) | 1661 (57.9) | $<0.001$ |
| Hypertriglyceridemia | $\mathrm{N}=5707$ | 1191 (20.8) | 780 (27.8) | 411 (14.5) | <0.001 |
| Mixed dyslipidemia ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{N}=5707$ | 935 (14.8) | 598 (21.3) | 337 (11.9) | $<0.001$ |
| $\text { DM2 }^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{N}=5568$ | 723 (13.0) | 432 (15.6) | 291 (10.4) | $<0.001$ |
| Obesity | $\mathrm{N}=5853$ | 1011 (17.3) | 463 (16.0) | 552 (18.8) | $<0.01$ |
| Metabolic syndrome ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{N}=5660$ | 1306 (23.1) | 629 (22.3) | 677 (23.8) | NS |
| Cardiovascular events |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stable angina | $\mathrm{N}=5846$ | 113 (1.9) | 68 (2.3) | 45 (1.5) | $<0.05$ |
| Unstable angina | $\mathrm{N}=5846$ | 74 (1.3) | 48 (1.7) | 26 (0.9) | $<0.05$ |
| Myocardial infarction | $\mathrm{N}=5846$ | 180 (3.1) | 154 (5.3) | 26 (0.9) | $<0.001$ |
| CABG | $\mathrm{N}=5846$ | 46 (0.8) | 39 (1.3) | 7 (0.2) | $<0.001$ |
| PTCA | $\mathrm{N}=5846$ | 76 (1.3) | 65 (2.2) | 11 (0.4) | $<0.001$ |
| Transient ischemic attack | $\mathrm{N}=5846$ | 118 (2.0) | 71 (2.4) | 47 (1.6) | $<0.05$ |
| Ischemic stroke | $\mathrm{N}=5846$ | 41 (0.7) | 25 (0.9) | 16 (0.5) | NS |
| Claudicatio | $\mathrm{N}=5846$ | 82 (1.4) | 73 (2.5) | 9 (0.3) | $<0.001$ |
| Secondary prevention ${ }^{\wedge}$ | $\mathrm{N}=5846$ | 501 (8.6) | 354 (12.2) | 147 (5.0) | <0.001 |
| LVH | $\mathrm{N}=5846$ | 526 (9.0) | 288 (9.9) | 238 (8.1) | $<0.05$ |
| Family history |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coronary heart disease | $\mathrm{N}=5846$ | 681 (11.6) | 323 (11.1) | 358 (12.2) | NS |
| Cerebrovascular disease | $N=5846$ | 454 (7.8) | 205 (7.1) | 249 (8.5) | NS |
| Dyslipidemia | $\mathrm{N}=5846$ | 1579 (27.0) | 763 (26.3) | 816 (27.7) | $<0.001$ |
| Hypertension | $\mathrm{N}=5846$ | 2897 (49.6) | 1346 (46.4) | 1551 (52.7) | <0.001 |
| DM2 | $\mathrm{N}=5846$ | 1542 (26.4) | 740 (25.5) | 802 (27.3) | NS |

DM2: type 2 diabetes mellitus; CABG: coronary artery bypass graft surgery; PTCA: percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty; LHV: left ventricular hypertrophy; NS: not significant; Criteria used for specific diagnosis are described in Methods paragraph; 'Criteria for definition are described in Methods paragraph; "men vs. women (non-parametric tests).

Table 4. CHD-risk classes and CVD-risk classes in total and sex stratified sample.

|  | Framingham |  |  |  |  | CUORE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total sample | Men | Women | Total sample | Men | Women |
| Risk \%, mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}^{\circ *}$ | $10.1 \pm 8.3$ | $13.5 \pm 8.9$ | $7.0 \pm 6.3$ | $5.2 \pm 5.9$ | $7.8 \pm 7.1$ | $2.8 \pm 3.1$ |
| $<\mathbf{5 . 0 \%}$ | $1415(30.8)$ | $299(13.6)$ | $1116(46.6)$ | $2736(65.0)$ | $916(45.4)$ | $1820(83.2)$ |
| $\mathbf{5 . 0 \% - 9 . 9 \%}$ | $1420(30.9)$ | $627(28.4)$ | $793(33.1)$ | $858(20.4)$ | $566(28.0)$ | $292(13.4)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 . 0 \% - 1 9 . 9 \%}$ | $1257(27.3)$ | $884(40.1)$ | $373(15.6)$ | $470(11.2)$ | $403(20.0)$ | $67(3.1)$ |
| $>=\mathbf{2 0 . 0 \%}$ | $505(11.0)$ | $394(17.9)$ | $111(4.6)$ | $142(3.4)$ | $126(6.6)$ | $8(0.4)$ |

[^1]studies, while the DBP values resulted slightly lower (8286 mmHg ) [18]. Compared to the population sample observed in the Atlante study, the CHECK cohort showed a higher overall incidence of hypertensive subjects ( $52 \% \mathrm{M}$ vs. $45 \% \mathrm{~W}$ ), possibly due to a higher prevalence of older subjects in the CHECK sample.

Raised total cholesterol is a major cause of disease burden as a risk factor for ischaemic heart disease and stroke. Overall, it is estimated to cause 2.6 million deaths ( $4.5 \%$ of total). The global prevalence of hypercholesterolemia among adults is about $39 \%$ [13]. The mean plasma total cholesterol levels in the CHECK cohort were $205.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$. The prevalence of hypercholesterolemia was $55.6 \%$. Hypertriglyceridemia and mixed dyslipidemia were identified in $20.8 \%$ and $14.8 \%$ of the enrolled subjects, respectively. Lipid data observed in the CHECK and in the Atlante study showed relevant similarities: in both cohorts, women showed a higher level of TC, LDL-C, and HDL-C than the same level reported for men, but lower TG values. TC mean values observed in the CUORE population [22], on the other hand, were significantly higher than those found in CHECK (CUORE $225.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL} \mathrm{M}$ and $229.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ W vs. CHECK 202.5 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL} \mathrm{M}$ and $208.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL} \mathrm{W}$ ). This difference is consistent with the trend of progressive reduction of blood TC levels observed over the last decades in Italy.

Impaired glucose tolerance and impaired fasting glycaemia are risk categories for future development of diabetes and cardiovascular disease [23]. A 2004 survey showed that more than 3 million people died from consequences of high blood sugar [24]. The global prevalence of diabetes is estimated to be $10 \%$ [13]. In the CHECK cohort, the mean level of fasting glucose was $98.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ (101.7 M vs. 94.9 W ). Based on GPs diagnosis and/or presence of anti-diabetes therapies and/or plasma glucose levels $\geq 126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$, type II diabetes prevalence was $13.0 \%$. This prevalence was higher than that observed in the Health Search GP's database of Italian general practice [25] for similar age ( $10 \%-11 \%$; unpublished observation). This difference can be partially explained by different diagnostic criteria (based on at least two assessments in clinical practice compared to the single time determination in the CHECK study protocol), or by the identification of previously unknown cases in the CHECK sample, due to the generalised screening. In the Atlante cohort, the mean fasting glucose levels was lower ( $93 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ M vs. $87 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL} \mathrm{W}$ ), leading to a lower prevalence of type II diabetes (Atlante $9 \% \mathrm{M}$ and $6 \% \mathrm{~W}$ vs. CHECK $15.6 \% \mathrm{M}$ and $10.4 \% \mathrm{~W}$ ). This difference could be partially explained by the different timeframe of the two studies (Atlante from 1998 vs. CHECK from 2001): over the last years, a generalised increase in the prevalence of type II diabetes in Western populations has been observed. Moreover, in the

Atlante study the blood glucose level was estimated from a sample of capillary blood, where glucose concentrations are on average significantly lower than in plasma.

Cardiovascular disease is the number one cause of death globally. In Italy, an estimated almost 240,000 people died from CVDs in 2008, representing $41 \%$ of all total deaths [26]. A clinical history of previous cardiovascular events was observed in $8.6 \%$ of the individuals included in the CHECK sample. The prevalence of such diagnosis was twice as high in men than in women. The prevalence of a clinical history of myocardial infarction was higher in the CHECK than in the Atlante study, especially in men (CHECK $5.3 \% \mathrm{M}$ and $0.9 \% \mathrm{~W}$ vs. Atlante $1.5 \% \mathrm{M}$ and $0.4 \% \mathrm{~W}$ ). The difference could be explained by the different methodological approach (information collected in a GP interview vs. hospital validation) and age differences.

The risk of future fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events was assessed using two different algorithms, based on the outcomes of the Framingham and the Cuore cohorts. The mean coronary risk at 10 years, calculated with the Framingham algorithm, was $10.1 \%$, while the cardiovascular risk calculated with the CUORE algorithm showed an average value of $5.2 \%$. This difference was expected, since the Framingham algorithm is known to over-estimate the coronary risk of the Italian population [27]. Compared to the CUORE population data [28], the mean cardiovascular risk for the CHECK cohort was slightly lower (CUORE $7.8 \% \mathrm{M}$ and $2.8 \% \mathrm{~W}$ vs. CHECK 8.7\% M and $3.3 \% \mathrm{~W}$ ).

The innovative design of the CHECK study responded to the emerging need of determining the prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors directly from primary care, following a rigorous and unbiased research methodology. The randomisation of the sample, the web-based data collection of primary data, the territorial quality control operated by local coordinators, and the centralised laboratory procedures increase the external validity of the study, conferring a general significance to the epidemiological outcomes.

A follow-up of the cardiovascular events (fatal and nonfatal) occurred to all enrolled subjects is currently ongoing. It will provide the opportunity to evaluate the underlying prevalence of factors predisposing the onset of cardiovascular disease. Moreover, frozen samples provide the possibility of further biochemical evaluations, as the characterization of specific population subgroups through polymorphism search/genetic research.

The assessment of the gap between risk factor levels and recommended targets will provide evidence to aid clinical decision making about tailored non pharmacological and pharmacological interventions and to achieve optimal resources allocation.

The CHECK study has some methodological limita-
tions, previously described. Importantly, the prevalence of cardiovascular events could have been underestimated, as the participating general practitioners reported those events at best of their knowledge, simply transcribing the diagnose related groups (DRG) codification of the events as reported by the hospital discharge letter, without any form of control on the appropriateness of the diagnoses. In addition, the peculiar design of the study, with progressive steps of GPs involvement, determined a participation rate lower than expected. The fact that local investigators did not participate in the enrolment meetings with the coordinating Centre, but were trained by their coordinators, may have weakened their motivation. However, no significant differences have been found between actively participating GPs and those who did not complete the preliminary steps.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

The CHECK study confirmed the significant burden caused by the cardiovascular disease to the health care system and to society. In the randomised cohort observed, 1 out of 2 subjects was suffering from high blood pressure or high cholesterol, 1 out of 5 was obese and 1 out of 10 was a type 2 diabetic. The elevated prevalence of lifestyle risk factors observed in the Italian population raises the issue of moral hazard: the limited willingness of subjects covered by the National Healthcare System to take action and modify behavioural risks, in favour of deferring the issue to pharmacological prevention or treatment of the cardiovascular events at a later stage. While this normative issue is well known in economics of public health, the CHECK findings on the prevalence and co-occurrence of key behavioural and clinical risk factors is an emerging concern and it demands further research. Despite the fact that most subjects included in the study had been followed-up by general practitioners for years, the vast majority of them still showed the presence of one or more risk factors.
The high proportion of subjects with multiple risk factors in combination with the elevated prevalence of cardiovascular disease projects a shadow on the effectiveness of current cardiovascular prevention policies in primary care. The epidemiological outcomes of this study could be used as a baseline to set priorities and objectives for future intervention, as well as a benchmark, against which the effectiveness of the implementation of future prevention policies in primary care should be measured. The further development of the CHECK study is aimed to facilitate the recognition and to improve the management of cardiovascular diseases in the Italian general practice.
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## APPENDIX Participating Investigators in the CHECK Study Group (Bold Type for Coordinators)

Aalders Maria Anna
Abbate Giuseppe
Agati Riccardo
Alano Raffaele
Alba Mauro
Alemagna Silvia
Alunni Massimo
Alvaro Antonio
Amato Fabio
Ammendola Erminia
Amodeo Vincenzo
Amoretti Giovanni
Andrani Alberto
Antiga Ivo
Appolonia Giorgio
Aramini Enrico
Arisi Marco Emilio
Artebani Adriano
Atzei Massimiliano
Azzolini Micheline
Bachetti Francesco
Bagagli Franco
Baldicchi Lorella
Banzi Roberta
Barba Ettore Maria
Barbato Pasquale Claudio
Barral Gino
Battaggia Alessandro
Battigelli Doriano
Baudi Marina
Bellumori Giovanni
Beltrami Giuseppe
Benincasa Anna Maria
Berardi Mario
Berlengiero Claudio
Bernardelli Stefano
Bernardi Giuseppe
Bertelle Evandro
Bettini Gianluca
Bevacqua Giuseppe
Bevilacqua Stefano
Bianconi Giuseppe
Biggioggero Giovanni
Bini Vincenzo
Bocchino Giancarlo
Boccone Nicolfranco
Boito Giancarlo
Bollo Alberto Maria
Boncompagni Salvatore
Bond Giuseppe
Bonesi Maria Grazia
Bono Gianfranco
Boscaro Federica
Bossi Paolo
Bozza Giulio
Bracone Enrico
Brandodoro Lucio
Brasesco Pierclaudio
Breviario Adele
Brizzi Antonio
Brugnetta Maurizio
Bruno Giuseppe
Buemi Giuseppe
Bufano Carmine
Bugli Tiziano
Burigo Daniela

Buzzatti Agostino
Caccamo Orazio Antonio
Cadamosti Danilo
Cagliesi Francesco
Caleffa Manuela
Cammisa Nicolo’
Campo Franceso
Campobello Margherita
Caputo Stanislao
Caraccio Nicola
Cardi Silvio
Cardinale Fulvio
Caregnato Massimo
Cariola Gianni
Carlino Saverio
Carminati Luisa Angela
Carnelli Feliciano
Carnesalli Franco
Caruso Ciro
Casale Ezio
Casini Marcella
Cassanelli Marco
Castiello Maria Luisa
Castriotta Antonio
Catalano Domenico
Cataldi Maria Elvira
Ceccarini Agostino
Celebrano Mario
Celora Amedeo
Cerracchio Alessandro
Cesaro Andrea
Cesaro Federico
Chiriatti Alberto
Cipriani Rosa
Collura Giuseppe
Colombo Valter
Coluccia Salvatore
Conte Sergio
Corda Andrea
Costa Roberto
Cottani Antonio
Crivellenti Giuseppe
D'Ambrosio Gaetano
D'Angelo Massimo
Dalla Rosa Rosanna
Damico Giansanto
De Andreis Bessone Pier Luigi
De Benedictis Antonio
De Conto Umberto
De Mola Cosimo
De Rosa Antonio
De Tommasi Roberto
Del Nero Barbara
Della Briotta Ivana
Dell'Orco Mario Domenico
Dell'Orco Mario Lucio
Di Candia Giuseppe
Di Carlo Vittorio
Di Febo Enrico
Di Feo Antonio
Di Fraia Giovanni
Di Fulvio Aristide
Di Nardo Dionisio
Dolmetta Franco
Donzelli Luigi
Dughiero Fausto

Durando Andrea
Ercolino Luigi
Fabbri Stelania
Fabrizio Nicola
Falchi Raffaello
Fariello Ciro
Fascendini Emilvio
Fasulo Serenella
Federici Laura
Ferioli Paolo
Ferrari Vincenzo
Fidelbo Melchiorre
Filetti Giuseppe
Filippini Giovanni
Fogher Michele
Franchini Carlo Andrea
Frascati Angelo
Frignani Patrizia
Fronteddu Pier Francesco
Gadaleta Caldarola Gennaro
Galantini Giovanna
Gallicchio Nicola
Gallina Franco
Gallo Silvano
Gambino Fortunato
Gambuzza Guglielmo
Garaffa Elio
Garagiola Alberto
Garofalo Remigio
Garrone Alfonsino
Gatta Luigi
Gennari Massimo
Gerace Antonio
Geremia Maria Alessandra
Germini Fabrizio
Giacci Luciano
Giannini Olivia
Giordano Stefano
Giovannelli Umberto
Giuffré Giuseppe
Giunti Giuliana
Glaviano Bruno
Gorletta Giovanni
Grand Paola
Grassini Giovanni
Grasso Anna Maria
Grasso Giuseppe
Grasso Maria Filomena
Greco Agostino
Grifagni Marcello
Grilli Piero
Grimaldi Emanuela
Grimaldi Nicola
Grosso Marco
Guarnera Lucia
Guerra Antonio
Guillaro Bruno
Gussoni Barbara Rita
aniro Gabriella
Ilardi Salvatore
Imbalzano Pasquale
Inguscio Cherubino
Invernizzi Giovanni
Iocca Tommaso
Kos Egidia
La Mattina Rosolino

La Torre Angelo
Lacava Cosimo
Lalli Pasqualino
Lamera Giorgio
Lanza Gerardo
Lardo Gerardo
Laringe Matteo
Lattanzio Giuseppe
Le Foche Luca
Leo Rosanna
Leuzzi Giacomo
Lipari Antonino
Lipari Francesco
Lippa Luciano
Lo Conte Maurizio
Lo Giudice Domenico
Lonati Rossella
Lorenzina Enrico
Magi Lorenzo
Magliozzo Francesco
Mallamo Luciano
Mantovani Licia
Marcenaro Alessandro
Marchetti Anna Rosa
Mariano Carlo
Marino Antonino
Mariuz Manuela
Maroni Achille
Martori Ampelio
Masoch Gigliola
Mattioli Carlo
Mattioli Mauro
Maurici Vincenzo
Mauro Nicola
Mazzardi Lidia
Mazzi Wainer
Mazzocchetti Alvaro
Mazzoleni Francesco
Mazzorana Michela
Medagliani Giorgio
Medea Gerardo
Merlino Giovanni
Merone Laura
Metrucci Antonio
Mezzano Silvio
Micchi Alessio
Micheli Pietro Severo
Milazzo Vito
Minafra Francesco
Minetti Luca
Mirandola Cipriano
Monari Gianluigi
Mongiello Claudio
Montano Giovanni
Montera Carmine
Moretti Marino
Morgana Ignazio
Morganti Mauro
Mormile Annunziata
Moro Roberto
Mostacciolo Francesco
Mourglia Danilo
Murari Tiziana
Muratore Alessandro
Murgia Rosalba
Naccari Massimo

Napoli Luigi
Nardacci Giuseppe
Nebiacolombo Cristina
Negri Fabrizio
Nicolini Gianfranco
Nigro Antonio
Noia Emanuela
Nuti Claudio Pietro
Olivani Enrico
Orlando Celestina
Padovan Letizia
Padula Maria Stella
Pagan Maurizio
Pannacci Valerio
Pantalone Vincenzo
Paolini Italo
Papandrea Giampaolo
Papini Giovanni
Papulino Francesco
Paradisi Enza
Parisi Carmela
Parretti Damiano
Pasculli Domenico
Pasinelli Pietro Carlo
Pasqualetto Salvatore
Passamonti Marco
Passaro Vincenzo
Pederzani Fabio
Pedrazzoli Giuliano
Pelizzari Pier Carlo
Pernici Pierrenato
Pesaresi Carlo
Pesce Gian Luigi
Petrucci Marco
Petrucci Mauro
Petrulli Carmela
Petti Stefano
Piccinocchi Gaetano
Picciotto Rinaldo
Piccolo Francesco
Pierobon Ivo
Pilone Rita
Piva Roberto

Pizzillo Carlo
Plebani Franco
Polistina Stefano
Pontari Antonino
Poppi Maria Cristina
Portanti Carla
Prencipe Giovanni
Prestifilippo Alessandro
Procopio Antonio
Profeta Gaetano
Proietti Carlo
Quattrocchi Pietro
Raciti Teodoro
Ragazzoni Anna
Rattini Emanuela
Reale Emanuela
Redaelli Dario
Reggiani Claudio
Ricotta Giuseppe
Rigamonti Rodolfo
Righini Velella
Rinaldi Vanna
Rista Pierangela
Romano Salvatore
Romei Federico
Rossi Alberto
Rossi Angelo
Rossi Francesco
Rossi Gianluca
Rosso Lucia
Rovazzani Massimo
Rovelli Monica
Rovescala Pietroclaudio
Rubicini Giuseppe
Rubini Stefano
Russo Carolina
Russo Vincenzo
Sala Massimo
Salurso Daniele
Salvaderi Maria Dionice
Salvato Alberto
Salvetti Andrea
Salvio Giuliano

Samani Fabio
Sammarco Renato
Santoiemma Luigi
Santoro Michele
Sassarini Graziano
Savino Andrea
Scaglione Matteo
Scarano Libero
Schiavone Ciro
Scola Vincenzo
Scorpiniti Anna
Scotto D’Antuono Antonio
Scovotto Maria Antonietta
Scuri Maurizio Giovanni
Scuteri Antonio
Sebastianelli Giuliano
Sebben Maurizio
Sforza Pasqualino
Sfragara Ignazio
Sicari Giuseppe
Simonini Giorgio
Soldani Miriam
Soverina Patrizio
Spagnolo Beatrice
Sperandio Massimo
Spezzano Alfredo
Steri Lia
Storni Paolo
Strada Sonia
Stramenga Carlo
Tagliabue Paola Fausta
Tarabini Legnoaura
Tarallo Nicola
Tei Alessandro
Tei Gian Paolo
Testi Sergio
Testolin Ennio
Tibo Angela
Titone Nicolò
Tomasello Antonino
Tondi Lidia
Torti Giorgio Tommaso
Toscano Emanuele

Tota Maria Fiorenza
Tozzoli Alfonso
Travaglini Rita
Trois Paolo
Trotta Gaetano
Tuia Bruno
Turbil Enrico
Ughetti Claudio
Urru Cesare
Valdevit Maria
Valente Fabio
Valenti Marco
Valle Lucia
Valletta Domenico
Valore Salvatore
Varriale Antonio
Varrica Gaetano
Ventriglia Giuseppe
Venturelli Antonio
Vesco Giuseppe
Vezzosi Angelo
Viola Dario
Viscusi Bruno
Vita Salvatore
Vitali Franco
Vittozzi Dante Sergio
Vivona Giacomo
Volpe Augusto
Volponi Damiano Antonio
Voza Italo
Zadra Alessandro

## Zaninetti Piero

Zanini Riccardo
Zennaro Walter
Zingaro Angelo
Zito Alfonso
Zollino Luciana
Zovi Maria Carla
Zunino Roberto


[^0]:    * Corresponding author.
    \#As presented in Appendix.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\circ}$ Values calculated within the limits of each algorithm; ${ }^{*}$ Men vs. women (t-test) $\mathrm{p}<0.001$; ${ }^{\S}$ Men vs. women (non-parametric tests) $\mathrm{p}<0.001$.

