Predicting the impacts of climate change on a globally distributed species: the case of the loggerhead turtle
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Summary

Marine turtles utilise terrestrial and marine habitats and several aspects of their life history are tied to environmental features that are altering due to rapid climate change. We overview the likely impacts of climate change on the biology of these species, which are likely centred upon the thermal ecology of this taxonomic group. Then, focusing in detail on three decades of research on the loggerhead turtle (Caretta caretta L.), we describe how much progress has been made to date and how future experimental and ecological focus should be directed. Key questions include: what are the current hatchling sex ratios from which to measure future climate-induced changes? What are wild adult sex ratios and how many males are necessary to maintain a fertile and productive population? How will climate change affect turtles in terms of their distribution?
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Introduction

A changing world

The Earth’s climate is warming: increases in average air and ocean temperatures, melting of land and sea ice, as well as rising sea levels have been observed and are likely caused by increases in anthropogenic atmospheric emissions (Hansen et al., 2006; IPCC, 2007). The observed changes in the climate since 1996 have actually been greater than anticipated (Rahmstorf et al., 2007), leading to concern regarding the future environment. Global average surface temperatures have increased by 0.8°C over the last 100 years (Hansen et al., 2006), with greater increases in temperature over the land than the sea surface (IPCC, 2007). Future surface temperature increases of 2–3°C are expected by 2100 (Hansen et al., 2006). Concurrent increases in sea level have been recorded at 1.8 mm per year over the last 42 years (IPCC, 2007) or at 3.4 mm per year degree Celsius of warming observed (Rahmstorf, 2007).

The majority of sea level rise is contributed by thermal expansion (57%), with another significant contribution (28%) by surface ice melting (IPCC, 2007), and may also be increasing faster than previously predicted (Rahmstorf, 2007). In addition, although an overall decrease in average rainfall is predicted (IPCC, 2007), an increase in heavy rainfall is expected (Milly et al., 2002). Both genesis and tracks of storms are predicted to move poleward, and may increase in intensity in some regions (Bengtsson et al., 2006). Finally, the uptake of atmospheric CO₂ by the ocean since the industrial era has meant that ocean pH has decreased by 0.1 pH units (IPCC, 2007). A further decrease of 0.35 pH units could occur over the next 100 years.

It is recognised that climate change must be incorporated into species conservation planning (Araújo et al., 2004; Hannah et al., 2002), with spatial and temporal alterations to species ranges, in accordance with climate change patterns, observed in 84% of species investigated (Parmesan and Yohe, 2003). Indeed, species extinctions as a result of climate change have been already documented (Pounds et al., 1999), and some authors have suggested that as much as one fifth to a third of terrestrial species could be at risk of extinction (Thomas et al., 2004). It has also become apparent that marine species are likely to be impacted (Croxall et al., 2005; MacLeod, 2009; Newson et al., 2009; Gremillet and Bioulínier, 2009) (Fuentes et al., in press), although far fewer studies have been conducted in comparison with terrestrial species.

Climate change in sea turtles

Although climate change was identified as a potential problem to sea turtles in seminal papers by Mrosovsky (Mrosovsky, 1984) and Davenport (Davenport, 1989), it is only recently that significant research effort has been expended on the field (for reviews, see Hamann et al., 2007; Hawkes et al., 2009). Although sea turtles are exposed to climate change threats both at sea and at the nesting beach, it is at the beach where the majority of research effort has been focused as it provides opportunity for more logistically feasible work. One of the primary effects of climate change to nesting beaches is sea level rise, where higher water levels will directly decrease the availability of suitable nesting sites. Recent work suggests that up to half of the current available nesting areas could be lost with predicted sea level rise (Fish et al., 2005; Fish et al., 2008; Mazaris et al., 2009), particularly at islands where no retreat options exist (Baker et al., 2006) or where anthropogenic coastal fortification causes ‘coastal squeeze’ (Fish et al., 2008). This coastal squeeze may indeed be exacerbated in the forthcoming decades by a growing population whose densities at the margins of the global land mass are considerably greater than the global land average (Small and Nicolls, 2003), potentially carrying fitness consequences for nesting female turtles (Pike, 2008). Even on available areas of nesting beach, incubating clutches could be at risk from sea level rise if water tables rise, effectively flooding the nest from below. Some species could be at greater risk than others, for example, green turtles (Chelonia mydas L.) lay deeper nests than
loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*) (Hannan et al., 2007), and leatherback turtles (*Dermochelys coriacea* Vandelli) tend to nest closer to the high tide line than green turtles or loggerhead turtles nesting on the same beach (Wetterer et al., 2009; Whitmore and Dutton, 1985).

Turtles on the nesting beach are profoundly affected by temperature, such that temperature can influence nesting phenology (Hawkes et al., 2007b; Hays et al., 2002; Pike et al., 2006; Sato et al., 1998; Webster and Cook, 2001; Weishampel et al., 2004), incubation success (Ackerman, 1997; Carthy et al., 2003), incubation duration (Matsuzawa et al., 2002; Mrosovsky et al., 1999; Pike et al., 2006) and, as sex determination is by temperature, sex of offspring (Yntema and Mrosovsky, 1980). Increasing temperatures, therefore, have the potential to change current nest incubation regimes as well as skew sex ratios (Hawkes et al., 2007b) (but see Bowden et al., 2000), potentially with wider implications for the whole population. Alternatively, increasing temperatures may open up areas that were previously inaccessible to nesting. Incubating sea turtle clutches can also be damaged or lost due to storm activity (Van Houtan and Bass, 2007), including surges, wave action and sand wash out events. The effects to the incubating nest can range from reducing hatching success to total loss of clutches on a particular beach (Snow and Snow, 2009).

The effects of climate change on turtles at large in the ocean are more challenging to study, because turtles range across entire ocean basins and are late maturing and long lived (Avise et al., 1992; Zug et al., 2002). There is compelling evidence from multiple species to suggest that the distribution and behaviour of Chelonid sea turtles are influenced by temperature (Hawkes et al., 2007a; Sato et al., 1998). Leatherback sea turtles are probably affected to a lesser extent by thermal conditions due to gigantothermy (Frair et al., 1972; Paladino et al., 1990) and anatomical adaptations (Davenport et al., 1972).
We know that ocean currents play an important role in dispersing
hatchling turtles (Bolten, 2003; Lohmann and Lohmann, 2003; Witherington, 2002; Witt et al., 2007b), and that these currents may change in magnitude or direction (Rahmstorf, 1997; Stocker and Schmittner, 1997), which may influence future juvenile
developmental phase durations (Hamann et al., 2003). In addition,
changes to the pelagic community as a result of climate change could
alter trophic dynamics (Edwards and Richardson, 2004) and juvenile
growth rates and therefore further alter developmental duration (Bjorndal et al., 2000; Verity et al., 2002). For adults, changes to
thermal regimes and sea surface currents could alter current adult
foraging habitat as well as the location and size of home ranges and
diet (Bjorndal, 1997; Davenport, 1998; Meylan, 1988; Polovina et
al., 2004), which has ramifications for population breeding
phenology and success.

The loggerhead sea turtle
The most intensively studied sea turtle species is the loggerhead
turtle, and in this review we focus on the populations of this species
within the Atlantic Ocean and the Mediterranean Sea. The
loggerhead turtle nests in tropical and sub-tropical regions. The
largest known rookeries are in the southeast United States of
America and Republic of Cape Verde (Fig. 1A), with nesting also
occurring along the Brazilian coast within the South Atlantic basin.
In the Mediterranean Sea (Fig. 1B), nesting is almost exclusively
restricted to the Eastern basin, with notable aggregations occurring
in Cyprus, Greece and Turkey (Broderick et al., 2002; Margaritoulis
et al., 2003).

All species of sea turtle demonstrate natal philopatry, returning
as an adult to their natal beach regions to breed. Females typically
breed every 2–3 years (Miller, 1997), with the seasonal magnitude of
nesting in any one year dependent upon trophic conditions
encountered by female turtles in the years preceding breeding and
nesting (Broderick et al., 2001b; Chaloupka et al., 2008). Following reproductive activity, while some female loggerhead
turtles move to oceanic areas (Hawkes et al., 2006), most will
undertake migrations of varying distances to neritic foraging
grounds (Girard et al., 2009; Godfrey and Mrosovsky, 2003; Plotkin and
Spotila, 2002; Zhinder et al., 2008), demonstrating considerable
levels of site fidelity (Broderick et al., 2007). During winter
periods, their range appears to be thermally constrained (Hawkes et al., 2007a), and individuals apparently hibernate during the
coldest months (Broderick et al., 2007; Hawkes et al., 2007a; Hochscheid et al., 2005).

Here we consider the thermal biology of loggerhead sea turtles in relation to projected climate change, highlighting the progress
that has been made and identifying the next key steps to
understanding likely impacts.

Hatchling sex ratios
Temperature-dependent sex determination in sea turtles was first
documented in loggerhead turtles by Yntema and Mrosovsky
(Yntema and Mrosovsky, 1980), with warmer incubation
temperatures producing more females and cooler temperatures
producing more males. Although early work was conducted in
laboratory conditions, attention moved to field studies to assess
whether hatchling sex ratios were different from 1:1 as predicted
by Fisher (Fisher, 1930). Initial assessments of loggerhead turtle
sex ratios suggested that sex ratios vary from beach to beach
and also from month to month within a nesting season (Mrosovsky et
al., 1984). Further work using laboratory incubation suggested that
the response of loggerhead turtle eggs to temperature was fairly
conserved, at least in terms of pivotal temperature – the temperature
that produces a 1:1 sex ratio (Mrosovsky and Pieau, 1991), across
different populations from different latitudes in the northwest
Atlantic (Mrosovsky, 1988). Subsequent studies of laboratory
incubation of loggerhead turtle eggs from Brazil and Greece have
also found pivotal temperatures that are relatively similar – close
to 29°C (Marcovaldi et al., 1997; Mrosovsky et al., 2002). Thus,
variation in sex ratios observed in the wild is thought to be driven
largely by local environmental conditions, specifically egg
temperatures during incubation (Godfrey and Mrosovsky, 2001).

A major constraint in the study of loggerhead turtle sex ratios has
been the challenge of assigning sex. Sea turtles do not have
sexually dimorphic sex chromosomes nor do they express visible
external phenotypic differences between the sexes prior to adulthood.
The only fully reliable method of assigning sex to hatchling
loggerhead turtles has been through histological examination of the
gonads (Yntema and Mrosovsky, 1980), which is labour intensive
and destructive. Attempts to use dead-in-nest hatchlings for sexing
are hampered by low sample sizes and a possible influence of sex-
biased mortality. There have been attempts to develop other non-
destructive markers of phenotypic sex, with varying degrees of
success, including assessing ratios of hormone titres in the
chorioallantoic fluid remaining in eggs after hatching (Gross et al.,
1995) and laparoscopy of hatchlings raised in captivity for several
months (Wyneken et al., 2007). Regardless of their reliability, these
alternative methods of directly assigning sex present logistical
challenges that would hamper studies of sex ratios on a large scale
in the field.

Other researchers have pursued methods that indirectly estimate
sex ratios of hatchlings, most commonly by using environmental
parameters such as sand and air temperature (Hawkes et al., 2007b;
Matsuzawa et al., 2002; Mrosovsky and Provancha, 1992). Some
researchers have also used the incubation duration of loggerhead

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Pivotal temperature</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cumberland Island, GA, USA</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>30.86</td>
<td>–81.42</td>
<td>Mrosovsky, 1988*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Cyprus</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>35.55</td>
<td>33.78</td>
<td>Fuller, 2008†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey/Cyprus</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>35.93</td>
<td>32.35</td>
<td>Kaska et al., 1998*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jupiter Island, FL, USA</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>27.07</td>
<td>–80.12</td>
<td>Mrosovsky, 1988*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bald Head Island, NC, USA</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>33.84</td>
<td>–77.97</td>
<td>Mrosovsky, 1988*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahia, Brazil</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>–10.38</td>
<td>–37.67</td>
<td>Marcovaldi et al., 1997*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyparissia, Greece</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>37.25</td>
<td>21.66</td>
<td>Mrosovsky et al., 2002*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Study used two clutches; †study used dead offspring and live offspring from Kaska et al. (Kaska et al., 1998) for Cyprus beaches only; ‡field-based; ¶two study clutches from one beach in Cyprus and six from four different beaches in Turkey combined to calculate pivotal temperature.
nests as an indirect measure of sex ratio, because the rate of embryonic development is linked to temperature (Godfrey and Mrosovsky, 1997; Godley et al., 2001; Marcovaldi et al., 1997). While these methods of indirectly estimating sex ratios have helped generate larger datasets (Hawkes et al., 2007a), few have been validated (but see Mrosovsky et al., 1999; Mrosovsky et al., 2009). This is especially important as most of these studies use pivotal temperature or pivotal incubation data from laboratory studies that are also based on few clutches using constant incubation temperatures. To date, there have been published pivotal temperature studies for only six different loggerhead nesting beaches in the Atlantic and Mediterranean Sea, with each pivotal value based on just two clutches. While reported pivotal temperature values from loggerhead turtles (Table 1) appear to be remarkably conserved around 29°C to date, more studies are needed to increase reliability of these values and illuminate the individual variation and capacity for adaptation in this trait. Indeed, pivotal temperature studies of freshwater turtles using many clutches suggest that pivotal temperatures are much more variable within a particular species, due either to intrinsic differences between and/or variability of maternal contributions, e.g. hormones, in the eggs (Bowden et al., 2000; Dodd et al., 2006). The development of a non-destructive but accurate marker of phenotypic sex of hatchlings would also greatly facilitate the generation of hatchling sex ratio datasets, both directly and indirectly, although to date there has been little success in this endeavour (Wibbels, 2003).

Despite the logistical challenges of accurately assigning sex to hatching sea turtles, loggerhead turtles have been the focus of a number of sex ratio studies, based on either direct or indirect assessments of offspring sex. Within the Atlantic Ocean there is a general trend, although statistically insignificant, of more female-biased hatchling sex ratios for rookeries located closer to the equator.

Fig. 2. Estimated proportion of male (black) and females (white) hatching production at selected loggerhead sea turtle nesting rookeries in (A) Atlantic Ocean and (B) Mediterranean sea. Sources: 1(Hawkes et al., 2007b); 2(Bell, 2003); 3(Mrosovsky et al., 1984); 4(Mrosovsky and Provancha, 1992); 5(Hanson et al., 1998); 6(Schmid et al., 2008); 7(Foley et al., 2000); 8-10(Marcovaldi et al., 1997); 11(Houghton and Hays, 2001); 12(Zbinden et al., 2006); 13(Rees and Margaritoulis, 2004); 14(Oz et al., 2004); 15(Fuller, 2008); 16(Kaska et al., 1998) and 17(Oz et al., 2004).
and more balanced sex ratios, i.e. closer to 1:1, at rookeries that are further away from the equator (Fig. 3A, Spearman rank-order correlation using absolute latitude versus arcsine-transformed percentage of females produced at each rookery, \( r^2 = -0.22, P = 0.54 \)). In the case of the southeast United States of America, the more southerly rookeries in eastern Florida are estimated to produce nearly 90% female hatchlings (Hanson et al., 1998; Mrosovsky and Provancha, 1992), while more northerly rookeries in Georgia, South Carolina and North Carolina are thought to produce closer to 55–60% female hatchlings (Hawkes et al., 2007b; Mrosovsky et al., 1984). There are exceptions to this trend, including reported 1:1 hatching sex ratios on some smaller, vegetated beaches in western Florida (Foley et al., 2000). A similar latitudinal trend exists south of the equator in Brazil, where the more northerly populations of Sergipe and Bahia produce nearly all female hatchlings (Marcovaldi et al., 1997; Naro-Maciel et al., 1999), while the more southerly rookery in Espírito Santo produce closer to 1:1 hatching sex ratios (Baptistotte et al., 1999; Marcovaldi et al., 1997). Note that hatching sex ratios studies have not been randomly designed, and there remain many nesting beaches both north and south of the equator, some of them major rookeries, that have not been adequately studied for hatching sex ratio production, e.g. Yucatan Peninsula in Mexico and Rio de Janeiro in Brazil. Therefore, caution is needed in interpreting large spatial scale trends based on limited available information.

In the Mediterranean Sea most loggerhead nesting occurs in the Eastern basin (Fig. 1B), and loggerhead hatching sex ratios (Fig. 2B) are estimated to be female biased on most beaches (Godley et al., 2001; Oz et al., 2004; Zbinden et al., 2006), with beaches of southerly latitude showing a general trend towards a greater female bias (Fig. 3B, Spearman rank-order correlation, \( N = 7, r^2 = -0.75, P = 0.06 \)). Exceptional loggerhead nests laid on beaches of the central and western Mediterranean Sea (Sénégas et al., 2008; Tomas et al., 2008) may experience cooler incubation environments, and thus may produce male-biased hatching sex ratios, although relative numbers of these nests are quite small.

There are several issues that hamper our understanding of this important population parameter, including (a) the lack of long-term datasets, which are needed to discern overall trends instead of short-term studies that may reflect temporary variations only; (b) the lack of systematic sampling for sex ratios across nesting populations that encompass the entire nesting season, making it difficult to interpret available data; and (c) a reliable, simple and non-destructive marker of phenotypic sex of hatchlings. More concentrated effort on issue c would contribute towards resolving issues a and b. In the meantime, more work should be focused on better refining currently employed techniques of indirectly estimating the sex of hatchlings, including temperature and duration of incubation. For instance, more pivotal temperature experiments, to capture (or rule out) spatio-temporal variability both within and among nesting beaches, e.g. variation with latitude, are needed, and should include information on the transitional range of temperature that produces both sexes (Hulín et al., 2009). Additionally, validation of indirect estimates against direct estimates (histological examination of the gonads) within the same study will help define rates of error associated with indirect estimates (Mrosovsky et al., 2009). Finally, long-term monitoring of index sites should be set up as soon as possible, to establish baselines against which to measure possible future changes to hatching sex ratio induced by climate change.

**Predicting future hatching sex ratios and nest death**

It is commonly acknowledged that global air and sea surface temperatures will rise, and with them so will sand temperatures at nest depth on loggerhead nesting beaches. More effort is urgently needed to predict how such changes may impact future hatching sex ratios of populations (Fuentes et al., 2009). If sea turtles do not adapt by shifting their geographical ranges, phenology of breeding or pivotal temperatures, sex ratios, many of which are already highly female biased, will become further skewed. Some studies have recorded an earlier onset of nesting (e.g. Pike et al., 2006; Weishampel et al., 2004), others have not (e.g. Hawkes et al., 2007b; Pike, 2009). Given that females may select cooler sites (e.g. shaded) to lay their clutch at existing or new locations, the recording of clutch temperatures will be the main indicator for monitoring adaptation (or lack of) to rising temperatures.

Although some have inferred past sex ratios from historic air temperature (Hawkes et al., 2007b; Hays et al., 2003), there have been surprisingly few studies that have attempted to predict how future climate change may impact hatching production of sea turtles, and those that have addressed this issue have predicted sex ratios and hatching success at set elevated temperatures (Hawkes et al., 2007b) as opposed to estimating future sex ratios under modelled
For those clutches that normally produce at least some males, a rise in temperature within a clutch will most likely increase the proportion of females produced. If temperatures rise above the threshold for successful development for extended periods (~33°C) embryonic death will increase (Miller, 1997).

To illustrate how variable predictions of hatchling sex ratios might be, we examined temporal trends in historic and global circulation model forecasts of sea surface temperature for the month of peak incubation at six loggerhead turtle nesting colonies (Fig. 4). From this information alone one might predict that regions that are currently experiencing higher incubation temperatures might be most at risk from further increases, potentially leading to complete feminisation in hatchling production. From our detailed studies in Cyprus in the Mediterranean Sea, however, we know that mean clutch temperatures from 1996 to 1999 (Godley et al., 2001) for example were ~4°C warmer than sea surface temperature, probably as a result of sand albedo (Hays et al., 2001). In addition, other variables such as depth of clutch and clutch size have been shown to influence clutch temperature (Broderick et al., 2001a) but have been the focus of few sex ratio studies to date. Understanding how these variables influence clutch temperature is crucial for predicting nest fate for future climate scenarios. For sites at risk, i.e. those that are currently experiencing extreme bias in sex ratios and near-lethal temperatures, accurate predictions are needed and monitoring strategies with intervention plans put into place in case limited or no adaptation to climate change occurs.

Sex ratios in advanced demographic groups
Less well understood are sex ratios of pelagic stage neonate turtles, older juveniles and adults (Blanvillain et al., 2008; Hawkes et al., 2009). Sex ratios of older size classes are an important component
of population dynamics (Frankham, 1995), affecting both genetic variation within the population and mating systems. Given that effective population size (\(N_e\)) will seldom be twice that of the rarer sex (Milner-Gulland et al., 2003), populations with highly skewed sex ratios are likely to suffer negative impacts through random drift and loss of genetic variation, compromising their ability to respond to selection pressures and impeding population recovery. In order to understand juvenile and adult sex ratios, at-sea surveys to catch and sex wild turtles, either laparoscopically, by hormonal assay (Blanvillain et al., 2008; Braun-McNeill et al., 2007), or by secondary sexual features in mature individuals, have to be undertaken. Notwithstanding cost, such studies require careful permission and should be undertaken over a long period (>10 years).

It is not surprising, therefore, that there exist few data in the peer-reviewed literature (Casale et al., 2006) to elucidate whether skewed hatchling sex ratios are reflected in larger size classes.

Work that has been published for loggerhead turtles, however, suggests that a female bias remains in the juvenile and adult populations (approximately three females to two males; Table 2).

Table 2. Reported juvenile and adult sex ratios for loggerhead turtles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Life stage</th>
<th>% F</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic, USA</td>
<td>Juvenile</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>LAP</td>
<td>Braun-McNeill et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic, USA</td>
<td>Juvenile</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>RIA</td>
<td>Braun-McNeill et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic, USA</td>
<td>Juvenile</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>OBS</td>
<td>Stabenau et al., 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic, USA</td>
<td>Juvenile</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>OBS</td>
<td>Shoop et al., 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>OBS</td>
<td>Casale et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean</td>
<td>Juvenile</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>OBS</td>
<td>Casale et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Method of sexing: observation of gross anatomical features (OBS), laparoscopy (LAP), radioimmunoassay (RIA).

A lag between hatchling sex ratio and older life stage turtles (large juveniles and adults), however, would be approximately 30 years [based on age to maturity estimates in Casale et al. (Casale et al., 2009) and Heppell et al. (Heppell et al., 2003)], such that future juvenile and adult sex ratios could be more female biased than at present. Although marine turtle fertility levels remain quite robust even at very low rookery size (Bell et al., 2009) it is conceivable that there will be a critical adult sex ratio after which fertility will become reduced.

### Distribution

As satellite tracking data have become more widely integrated with oceanographic data (Godley et al., 2008), the parameters describing the preferable habitat for loggerhead sea turtles, e.g. seabed depth preference, surface current strength, upper and lower thermal preference, have become clearer. Habitat suitability models, utilising some of these parameters (Hawkes et al., 2007a; McMahon and Hays, 2006), are now being developed and these will provide the foundation to which global circulation models, used to build climate Fig. 5. Historic and forecast loggerhead turtle habitat suitability for the Atlantic Ocean. Forecast sea surface temperature data (HadGEM1) were variance and trend adjusted and merged with historic (HadISST) data. Six 20-year duration sea surface temperature datasets were constructed. Each 20-year dataset comprised of 240 months of spatially gridded mean monthly sea surface temperature data. Each pixel of each 20-year gridded sea surface temperature dataset was scored as 0 or 1 according to thermal suitability, i.e. \(<15^\circ C = 0\) and \(15^\circ C = 1\), following the method of Hawkes et al. (Hawkes et al., 2007a). The temporal availability of habitat was calculated by dividing the number of months that each cell was suitable against the total number of months analysed. The proportion of time that each cell (pixel) was thermally accessible was subsequently expressed as percentage. Isolines of 90% habitat suitability were derived using cubic interpolation using Matlab (MathWorks, Inc.).
change predictions, might be applied. With further characterisation of habitat preference, for example mapping prey distribution (Witt et al., 2007a), models might predict available habitat with greater specificity than temperature alone, which is the predominant variable used in bioclimatic envelope modelling.

In order to investigate how climate change may alter current thermal ranges for loggerhead sea turtles, we integrated oceanographic habitat preferences for adult loggerhead turtles [temperatures warmer than 15°C; derived from Hawkes et al., (Hawkes et al., 2007a)] with historic and forecast monthly mean sea surface temperatures. For the Atlantic Ocean these data were used to model the past, present and future thermally accessible range (1970–2089, Fig. 5). For the Mediterranean Sea we took an alternative approach, displaying the mean March 15°C isotherm over successive 20-year periods (Fig. 6). Within the annual cycle of sea surface temperature in the Mediterranean Sea, March represents the coldest month when Chelonid sea turtles are most likely to be spatially constrained by temperature. Broadly, these simple thermal envelope (niche) models describe an increase in available habitat through time. For the Atlantic Ocean we see the 90% habitat suitability contour migrating poleward with greatest range extension in the mid north Atlantic and some 75–100 km poleward extension along the US Atlantic coast. This model represents habitat suitability using a year-round approach; however, during warmer summer months loggerhead turtle distribution regularly extends further north than the annualised 90% habitat suitability contours. In the Mediterranean Sea, we see the Western basin becoming increasing favourable to occupation during winter months, by 2089 only a small area of the Mediterranean Sea, south of France, will remain inaccessible to year round occupation.

Despite the obvious utility of models such as ours in predicting and managing for future range changes, it should be noted that insufficient data describing the oceanographic parameters of habitat occupation have been published for the seven species of sea turtles. In particular, the habitat preferences of juvenile turtles and adult males are largely unknown, and future tracking efforts need to address this shortcoming (Godley et al., 2008). These data are fundamental to both the development and accuracy of future models and at present it has not been possible to develop robust models for many major rookeries.

As ectotherms, sea turtles are likely to have range distributions largely defined by temperature (Hawkes et al., 2007a; Milton and Lutz, 2003; Sato et al., 1998). However, distribution is also likely to be defined by other factors especially food availability (Witt et al., 2007a). Ecosystems are likely to suffer significant shifts due to climate change, which may impact trophic relationships and, given inter-specific differences in foraging ecology, these will vary between species (Bjorndal, 1997). For loggerhead turtles, which have been shown to have such a broad generalist diet (Bjorndal, 1997; Godley et al., 1997; Tomas et al., 2001), limitation of specific food items is less likely to impact distribution unless there is a low overall abundance of potential dietary items. This compares with species that are more specialist such as hawksbill turtles (*Eretmochelys imbricata* L.), which often prey upon sponges (Leon and Bjorndal, 2002; Meylan, 1988), or green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*), which typically forage on seagrasses and algae (Bjorndal, 1997). For these latter species, approaches will need to encompass modelling of the likely distribution of key habitats as well as the thermal envelope approach suggested here.
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Conclusion

In this review we have highlighted some of the primary threats from climate change faced by these species, the current knowledge of sex ratios, temperature-dependent sex determination and species distribution, and recommend future studies that will provide critical information for the prediction of the potential effects of climate change, which will inform possible adaptive management practices. These practices might include artificial nest shading or watering of nests to reduce incubation temperatures (e.g. Naro-Maciej et al., 1999), translocation of clutches to cooler sites on current nesting beaches or reseeding populations to new locations (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2008). We do, however, urge that robust experiments be conducted to test the effectiveness of such practices (Pintus et al., 2009). In addition further empirical studies, in particular the development of a marker to sex offspring, are urgently needed to aid accurate prediction of sex ratios and hence identify populations that may require mitigation activities. Finally, the threats from climate change experienced by these species of conservation concern, as with many migratory taxa (Robinson et al., 2009), are only part of a suite of other threats such as direct exploitation, fisheries by catch and habitat loss that potentially hinder marine turtle population recovery. Targeting these latter threats will better engender resilience in marine turtle stocks while they adjust to changes in conditions as they have done in the past.
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